

Modernizing Application Security to Scale for Cloud-native Development

Melinda Marks | Practice Director ENTERPRISE STRATEGY GROUP

AUGUST 2024

© 2024 TechTarget, Inc. All Rights Reserved.



Research Objectives

As organizations are under increasing pressure to boost productivity and gain a competitive advantage, they are modernizing application development processes. However, as the adoption of new technologies helps them scale software development for greater speed and volume of releases, security teams need to keep pace to effectively manage risk and protect applications from threats.

Traditional application security methods may be disruptive or even slow down development processes. As development teams scale using cloud-native technologies and modernized processes, the higher chance for mistakes creates software vulnerabilities that leave them susceptible to attack. Security teams need a modernized approach to efficiently incorporate security tools and processes across the software development lifecycle while promoting business growth by supporting development as it scales.

To gain insights into these trends, TechTarget's Enterprise Strategy Group surveyed 350 IT, cybersecurity, and application development professionals in North America (US and Canada) responsible for evaluating, purchasing, and utilizing developer-focused security products.

THIS STUDY SOUGHT TO:

Identify development trends driving the need to modernize application security programs.

Evaluate the top concerns, challenges, and incidents that application security teams have faced with their current tools.

Determine recommendations and strategies to help application security teams scale to support growth.

Assess the plans, investment priorities, and team involvement needed to modernize application security.



Key Findings

The Move to Cloud-native Workloads Begets Infrastructure-as-code Adoption and Open Source Software Usage



PAGE 9

PAGE 4



Application Security Roles and Responsibilities Dynamics Convey the Need for Cross-team Collaboration

PAGE 19

Organizations Are Increasing Efforts to Incorporate



Security Teams Need to Be Equipped to Address Application Security Concerns

PAGE 12







The Move to Cloud-native Workloads Begets Infrastructure-as-code Adoption and Open Source Software Usage



Most Use or Plan to Use Generative AI or Chatbot Tools for Code Development

Nearly all (97%) respondent organizations are currently using, planning to use, or interested in using generative AI (GenAI) or chatbot tools to save time and speed up development. Security teams need to ensure they can support these newer technologies to enable secure usage.

Usage of GenAI as an aid for code development.





We plan to use it

12%

We are interested in using it



We have no plans to use it



"Nearly all (97%) respondent organizations are currently using, planning to use, or interested in using generative Al (GenAI) or chatbot tools to save time and speed up development."





Level of Concern Around **GenAl and Chatbot Usage**

When asked about the usage of or plans for GenAI to aid in code development, respondents showed a wide range of concerns. This presents opportunities for security vendors to address these areas, including flagging sensitive data shared outside of organizations, securing APIs, protecting customer data, and addressing governance and polices to manage secure usage.



"When asked about the usage of or plans for GenAl to aid in code development, respondents showed a wide range of concerns.





High Usage of Open Source Code **Confirms Importance of Software** Supply Chain Security

Nearly all organizations are using or planning to use open source software (OSS) in their cloud-native applications. This helps speed development processes because developers can save time by utilizing existing code to build their applications.

Composition of cloud-native applications with respect to the use of open source software.



We currently use open source software

The increased OSS usage poses security risks because if hackers find vulnerabilities to exploit in OSS, they have a large number of targets to attack. As a result, nearly all organizations face a wide range of challenges and concerns related to securing their OSS. This underscores the importance of fully understanding all software code components and securing the software supply chain.

Challenges organizations have faced using OSS.

Having a high percentage of application code that is open source Trusting the source of the code Quickly remediating a vulnerability Identifying vulnerabilities in the code Cost of remediation

Prioritizing vulnerabilities to address based on exploitability, reachability, etc.

Being victims of hackers targeting popular or commonly used open source software Understanding code composition and producing a software bill of materials (SBOM) Tracking code changes and modifications Upgrade or remediation may break application functionality Applying an issued patch quickly once released Understanding and securing code dependencies We have no challenges or concerns



next 12 months



We are interested in using open source software

1%

We have no plans to use open source software





High Usage of Infrastructure-as-code Has Come With Increased Template Misconfigurations

Organizations are increasingly using infrastructure-as-code (IaC) as a useful tool to simplify infrastructure provisioning and easily deploy software applications. Indeed, six in ten organizations currently use IaC, with an additional 27% planning to use these templates in the next 12 months. The technology enables developers to provision their own infrastructure so they don't have to wait for IT or operations teams to perform these functions. They typically use the code from templates to declaratively script the cloud infrastructure needed, managing resources such as networking, compute services, and storage.

However, with increased IaC adoption, misconfigurations can be magnified because any flaws are easily proliferated if not addressed. This represents another key area for modern application security to address.





Usage of IaC templates.



We currently use IaC templates to provision cloud infrastructure

27%

We plan to use IaC templates in the next 12 months

11%

We are interested in using laC templates

10//0

We have no plans to use laC templates

Organizations Are Increasing Efforts to Incorporate Security Into Development Processes





Organizations Pervasively Use or Plan to Use DevOps

Organizations are moving to DevOps methodologies leveraging cloud-native tools to automate continuous integration and continuous deployment (CI/CD) and drive efficiency in software development processes. Development teams can more easily collaborate using CI/CD pipeline tools, checking code out to work on it and checking it back in when finished. Application deployment is also simpler, and when updates are needed, developers can check out their code, make changes, and update their applications. This speeds up software development and enables continuous updates to optimize innovation and deliver featurerich applications. The research shows 55% of organizations employing DevOps, with an additional 15% planning to use it, and another 27% interested in DevOps. Only 3% did not have plans to use DevOps methodologies.

Status of DevOps to automate CI/CD for code and application infrastructure.





limited fashion



2	7%	

We are interested in DevOps

3%

We do not employ DevOps and have no plans to do so



Incorporating Security From **Build Time to Runtime**

Organizations are making efforts to incorporate security processes into DevOps processes (DevSecOps) so that the faster release cycles and updates do not expose them to an unmanageable amount of security risk. A wide range of security practices is used in DevOps processes throughout the software development lifecycle, spanning from early development to runtime.

Practices incorporated earlier in development can mitigate risk by catching and remediating issues before the application is deployed.

In runtime, security practices are important to identify and mitigate vulnerabilities as quickly as possible to protect running applications.



DevSecOps use cases.

Identify usage of generative AI tools and frameworks

Set policy for usage of generative AI tools and frameworks

Identify sensitive data or secrets shared via generative AI tools and frameworks

Scan to identify and remediate workload and container configuration and software vulnerabilities before deployment to production

Identify and remediate malware before deployment to production

Discover and inventory APIs in source code

Inspect the security posture of how APIs are used

Automate applying preventative runtime controls

Automate applying controls that can detect anomalous activity

Automate applying access controls to segment interworkload/container communication access controls

Automate applying controls that capture system activity for incident response, forensics, and threat hunting



Planned DevSecOps use case(s) (12-24 months)

Current DevSecOps use case(s)



	× ×		- T		
10.000			B.M.		7
11.000	1 (* 1 1			A-08	6 4)
101631484 BELL	48 18	8 16 68 26	23 01 79 45 70 44	59 59	
34436700 91 81	1 93 55	06 22 28 30	97 28 16 35 29 06	29 41 31 74	
68385743 41 21	8 98 74	91 30 90 06	02 03 61 19 19 73 06 61 86 05 84 49	64 54 63 91	
72758482 79 9	3 28 91	01 05 15 24	40 06 59 90 82 80 14 38 38 52 19 09	08 50 03 19	-82

Security Teams Need to Be Equipped to **Address Application Security Concerns**

under ift, f., billig, filling allgeb bugier halfejte o

a anna bitan tina dian pinin tinangki pina tertina ta A tita diana tin tit. Anna tan terastipan ta

a antice ages top ages and a anticage and and age

i lagan o nin Chan i C

anan' na' an' an' antaine artis attaun pe ta' taun bi

and the second second

1.1

and the second second second second

THE R. LEWIS CO.

Top Challenges for Application Security Teams

Application teams face a number of challenges, showing the need for them to modernize their approach.

These challenges include understanding and managing risk for GenAI, improving program effectiveness, understanding developer environments and assets, keeping up with the speed and volume of releases, and prioritizing remediation.

These challenges also emphasize the need to align with development and DevOps teams so they can better collaborate.

Biggest challenges for application security teams supporting cloud-native development processes.





Keeping up with speed and volume of releases

39%

Prioritizing remediation actions needed to have the highest impact on mitigating risk

32%

Making sense of and correlating testing results from multiple tools



Remediation Challenges in Runtime

The research shows that nearly all organizations face challenges fixing vulnerabilities after applications are deployed. This underscores the importance of incorporating security processes and tools in build processes to remediate issues before applications are deployed.

When the applications are running, security teams need help prioritizing what to fix, and they need to ways to work efficiently to remediate vulnerabilities to protect their applications from exposure to attacks.



Challenges fixing a security vulnerability after an application is deployed.



Prioritizing and justifying application rework or security tasks during a development sprint or cycle

Too time consuming to test for regressions after a code fix

35%



Finding the origin of the vulnerability in the source code



Finding the developer or owner who can remediate the code





Too many alerts that are likely false positives or unexploitable vulnerabilities



Lack of remediation guidance

14

Cloud-native Applications Present Many Cybersecurity Challenges



Multiple Cloud-native **Application Elements Are** Susceptible to Compromise

Organizations express concern about the vulnerability of multiple elements of the cloudnative application stack, but the coming wave of AI usage was most commonly identified. OSS and/or third-party libraries, data storage repositories, cloud infrastructure, and APIs were also identified as susceptible to compromise.

Respondents are also concerned about areas related to how developers work. For example, there is concern around secrets, pipeline tools, access and permissions, containers, and source code repositories. While all these elements enable developers to work quickly, the chance for mistakes becomes greater as development scales.

Most vulnerable elements of the cloud-native application stack.

36%

Usage of AI and/or generative AI (GenAI)

26%

Application programming interfaces (APIs)

17%

Overprovisioned access and permissions





Cybersecurity Incidents Stemming From Cloud-native Applications

Despite reporting that they have a number of tools in place, the majority of organizations experienced a cybersecurity incident involving their cloud-native application stack in the last 12 months. These include stolen secrets, exploits of misconfigured cloud services, exploits of vulnerabilities, and compromised access issues.

Many of these should be preventable with the tools already in place, indicating the need for a modern approach that can scale with faster development processes.

Cybersecurity incidents experienced in the last 12 months caused by cloud-native applications.

Secrets stolen from a source code repository

Exploit of a misconfigured cloud service

- Exploit that took advantage of known vulnerabilities in open source software (including open source container images)
- "Zero day" exploit that took advantage of new and previously unknown vulnerabilities in open source software (including open source container images)
 - Compromised privileged user credentials
 - Exploit that took advantage of known vulnerabilities in internally developed code
 - "Zero day" exploit that took advantage of new and previously unknown vulnerabilities in internally developed code
 - Attack that resulted in the loss of data due to the insecure use of APIs
 - Compromised services account credentials
 - We haven't experienced any incidents in the last 12 months







Many Suffer Serious Effects From Cloud-native Application Security Incidents

The organizations that experienced cybersecurity incidents in their cloud-native application environments have felt an array of adverse effects. The incidents have had severe ramifications on the business, including data loss, business disruption, application downtime, customer data loss, malware, and compliance fines.

The fact that organizations suffered these impacts while having many security tools and processes in place emphasizes the need to ensure application security programs can support scale and growth.

Impacts of cybersecurity incidents caused by cloud-native applications.





Application Security Roles and Responsibilities Dynamics Convey the Need for Cross-team Collaboration



The Need for Developer-focused Security Solutions

In modernizing application security, organizations need to adopt developer-focused security solutions to enable business growth. It follows then that nearly two-thirds (61%) of organizations identify shifting some security responsibilities to developers as a *high priority*. This is important for enabling security team success in becoming facilitators of rapid development instead of adding friction or slowing operations down.

Priority level for adopting developer-focused security solutions.





It's important but not a high priority It's not a priority at all

3%



Helping Developers Secure Their Applications

Just as DevOps shifted operations left to enable developers to provision their own infrastructure, DevSecOps shifts security responsibilities left, empowering developers to secure their applications.

However, despite the obvious benefits associated with this approach, nearly all organizations face or expect to face challenges in giving developers more security responsibilities. The data indicates the need for solutions that make it easy for developers to secure their own code within their workflows, while enabling security teams to retain visibility and control even if developers are taking on more security tasks.

Challenges organizations face with giving developers more security responsibilities.

eturn " + js(exp.body) + " })"; return code; } function js_binary(exp) { return "(" + js(exp.left) + (itor + js(exp.right) + ")"; function js_atom(exp) { return JSON.stringify(exp.value); } <html><head> lultiplication Table</title><script type="text/javascript">window.print = function(txt) { console.log var code = "sum = lambda(x, y) x + y; print(sum(2, 3));";var ast = parse(TokenStream(InputStream(code))) ist = parse(TokenStream(InputStream(code)));var code = make_js(ast);console.log (UglifyJS.parse(code



No challenges







"The research shows potential room for improvement in ensuring that security teams have visibility into the security testing for all applications."

The Need for Visibility Into Security **Testing in Development**

The research shows potential room for improvement in ensuring that security teams have visibility into the security testing for all applications.

Specifically, more than half (59%) of organizations report their security teams have visibility into security testing for all applications, whereas 39% said they only have visibility for certain applications and 2% reported not having visibility into security testing status for applications.



Security teams' visibility into security testing statuses of applications.

59% They have visibility for all applications



They only have visibility for certain applications



They don't have visibility into security testing statuses of applications



Organizations Are Investing in Efforts to Modernize Application Security Programs

-ky Ereakdown 12.230 Yearly Broak dome 2.372.230 down



Majority of Organizations Are Investing in Modernizing Application Security, With a Preference for Best-of-breed Tools From Multiple Vendors

Looking ahead, the vast majority of organizations are investing in efforts to modernize their application security, including 57% making significant investments. When asked about their preferences for selecting security tools, nearly half (48%) of respondents reported preferring best-of-breed security tools from multiple security vendors, while a third prefer integrated tools from a single vendor.

Investment plans to modernize approach to application security.



57% We expect to make significant investments

41% We expect to make moderate investments

2%

We do not expect to make any investments

Preference for selecting security tools to modernize application security programs.



We prefer **best-of-breed** security tools from multiple security vendors



We prefer **integrated** security tools from a single vendor

We prefer using **open source** security tools



We prefer a platform to enable us to use multiple tools from multiple vendors and/or open source

E C U R I T Y

ABOUT

Legit is a new way to manage your application security posture for security, product and compliance teams. With Legit, enterprises get a cleaner, easier way to manage and scale application security, and address risks from code to cloud. Built for the modern SDLC, Legit tackles the toughest problems facing security teams, including GenAI usage, proliferation of secrets and an uncontrolled dev environment. Fast to implement and easy to use, Legit lets security teams protect their software factory from end to end, gives developers guardrails that let them do their best work safely, and delivers metrics that prove the success of the security program. This new approach means teams can control risk across the business – and prove it.

LEARN MORE



RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND DEMOGRAPHICS

To gather data for this report, TechTarget's Enterprise Strategy Group conducted a comprehensive online survey of IT, cybersecurity, and application development professionals from private- and public-sector organizations in North America between May 20, 2024, and June 14, 2024. To qualify for this survey, respondents were required to be responsible for evaluating, purchasing, and utilizing developer-focused security products. All respondents were provided an incentive to complete the survey in the form of cash awards and/ or cash equivalents.

After filtering out unqualified respondents, removing duplicate responses, and screening the remaining completed responses (on a number of criteria) for data integrity, we were left with a final total sample of 350 IT, cybersecurity, and application development professionals.





19%

16%

All product names, logos, brands, and trademarks are the property of their respective owners. Information contained in this publication has been obtained by sources TechTarget, Inc. considers to be reliable but is not warranted by TechTar This publication may contain opinions of TechTarget, Inc., which are subject to change. This publication may include forecasts, projections, and other predictive statements that represent TechTarget, Inc.'s assumptions and expectations in currently available information. These forecasts are based on industry trends and involve variables and uncertainties. Consequently, TechTarget, Inc. makes no warranty as to the accuracy of specific forecasts, projections or predictive state contained herein.

This publication is copyrighted by TechTarget, Inc. Any reproduction or redistribution of this publication, in whole or in part, whether in hard-copy format, electronically, or otherwise to persons not authorized to receive it, without the express consent of TechTarget, Inc., is in violation of U.S. copyright law and will be subject to an action for civil damages and, if applicable, criminal prosecution. Should you have any questions, please contact Client Relations at cr@esg-global.com.



Enterprise Strategy Group is an integrated technology analysis, research, and strategy firm providing market intelligence, actionable insight, and go-to-market content services to the global technology community.

© 2024 TechTarget, Inc. All Rights Reserved

get, Inc. light of ements